Strategy Selection Table

Complete this table by detailing all strategies being considered. You may be considering multiple local conditions under each intervening variable category; add and delete rows as needed. From your Strategy Selection Worksheets, use the average conceptual fit and practical fit scores, and evidence-based definition. When comparing strategies for each local condition, consider both the total score as well as the following guiding questions:

* To what extent do you have the right mix of strategies to fully engage interested stakeholders?
* To what extent do you have the right mix of strategies to effectively intervene with your population of focus?
* To what extent do you have the right mix of strategies to provide a comprehensive approach to your "highest priority" problems?
* Including existing prevention efforts, will you have at least three strategies for each priority substance abuse problem being addressed?

Grantee/coalition:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| A. Intervening Variable | B. Substance | C. Prioritized Local Condition | D. Strategy | E. Average Conceptual Fit Score | F. Average Practical Fit Score | G. Total Score (sum columns E and F) | H. Evidence of Effectiveness (Definition 1-5) |
| Access/  Availability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perceived Enforcement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pricing and Promotion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community Norms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individual Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |